

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/558,293	KANURI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2666	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin C. Harper. (3) _____.

(2) Leon Turkevich (34,035). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative noted a defect in the office action where US 5,353,283 was not listed on the 892. Examiner agreed to send a supplemental 892 with a 1 month response period (MPEP 710.06).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DAN GTON
PATENT OFFICE

KCH 4/22/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required